Behind the Blockchain: Understanding the Technology That Powers Digital Ledgers

Decoding the Fundamentals of Decentralized Trust and Transactions

> Blockchain technology has emerged as a groundbreaking innovation, transforming how we perceive data management and security in the digital age. Its decentralized nature, cryptographic security, and transparent ledger system offer a stark contrast to traditional centralized systems, promising enhanced efficiency, trust, and immutability. This article delves into the fundamentals of blockchain, exploring its architecture, applications, challenges, and future prospects, providing a comprehensive understanding of this revolutionary technology.

The Genesis of Digital Ledgers

The concept of a ledger, a record of transactions, has been central to commerce for centuries [1]. Traditional ledgers, however, are centralized, relying on a trusted authority for validation and maintenance. This introduces vulnerabilities such as manipulation, corruption, and human error [2]. Accounting scandals stemming from manipulated centralized ledgers highlight these risks [3]. Access restrictions further limit transparency, creating bottlenecks and inefficiencies.

The digitization of ledgers through computers improved efficiency but largely retained the centralized structure, inheriting the same vulnerabilities [4]. While data processing became faster, the core issues of trust and security remained unresolved [5]. Early attempts to create digital currencies struggled due to the lack of a central authority to prevent double-spending and ensure security [6]. These failures underscored the need for a robust, decentralized solution.

A watershed moment arrived in 2008 with Bitcoin, introducing the blockchain – a decentralized digital ledger [7]. Conceived by Satoshi Nakamoto, Bitcoin ingeniously combined cryptography, a peer-to-peer network, and a consensus mechanism to establish trust and immutability without a central authority [8]. This innovation enabled direct peer-to-peer transactions, reducing censorship and manipulation risks [9]. The blockchain represented a fundamental shift in data management, providing a secure, transparent, and immutable record, paving the way for numerous innovations beyond cryptocurrencies [10].

Demystifying Blockchain Technology

At its core, a blockchain is a continuously growing digital ledger secured by cryptography [11]. It's a shared and immutable record of transactions distributed across a network of computers, enhancing resilience against failure or attack [12]. The basic unit is the 'block,' and these chronologically linked, cryptographically secured blocks form the 'chain' [13]. Each block contains a timestamp, transaction data, and a cryptographic hash of the previous block, creating an interconnected structure [14].

This interconnected structure makes blockchain tamper-proof [15]. Altering a block's data changes its hash, invalidating subsequent blocks' hashes [16]. Manipulating the blockchain requires recalculating hashes across the entire network, a computationally infeasible task, especially as the blockchain grows [17]. This resistance to tampering

makes blockchain attractive for applications requiring high trust and data integrity [18].

Blockchain technology has the potential to eliminate intermediaries in transactions [19]. Traditionally, trusted third parties verify and facilitate exchanges, adding costs and complexities [20]. Blockchain enables peer-to-peer transfers, reducing these costs and delays [21]. For example, international money transfers can be streamlined with blockchain-based cryptocurrencies, significantly reducing both cost and transfer time [22]. This disintermediation can revolutionize finance, supply chain management, voting, and digital identity [23].

Different types of blockchains exist, each designed for specific purposes [24]. Public blockchains, like Bitcoin and Ethereum, are permissionless, allowing anyone to participate [25]. Private blockchains are permissioned, restricting access to authorized participants, often used by businesses for internal data management [26]. Consortium blockchains are jointly managed by a group of organizations, suitable for collaborative projects [27].

The integrity of a blockchain relies on its consensus mechanism [28]. Proof-of-Work (PoW), used by Bitcoin, requires participants (miners) to solve complex puzzles to validate transactions [29]. Proof-of-Stake (PoS), used by Ethereum (after the Merge), selects validators based on their cryptocurrency holdings [30]. The choice of consensus mechanism impacts security, scalability, and environmental impact [31].

Smart contracts add automation to blockchain technology [32]. These self-executing contracts, stored on the blockchain, automatically enforce agreements when predefined conditions are met [33]. For example, a smart contract could release funds when a shipment arrives [34]. Smart contracts streamline processes, reduce fraud risk, and increase transparency, enabling decentralized applications (dApps) [35]. Bolstered by smart contracts, blockchain is constructing a new paradigm for trust and efficiency [36].

Behind the Blockchain: Understanding the Technology That Powers Digital Ledgers

At the heart of blockchain's potential lies its decentralized architecture [37]. Unlike centralized systems, blockchain distributes control and data across a network of nodes [38]. In contrast to a traditional bank with a central database, a decentralized blockchain operates without a single point of control, with every node holding a copy of the ledger [39].

This distributed nature enhances security and resilience [40]. While an attack on a central server can compromise a centralized system, a decentralized blockchain has no single point of failure [41]. Manipulating the blockchain requires controlling a significant portion of the network's nodes, making it incredibly difficult [42]. This robustness makes blockchain attractive for applications where security is paramount [43]. If a hacker attempts to alter a transaction, other nodes would reject the inconsistent change [44].

The absence of a central authority fosters transparency and accountability [45]. All transactions are recorded on a publicly verifiable ledger [46]. While identities may be masked, transaction details are open [47]. This transparency makes it easier to audit the system and identify fraud [48]. In supply chain applications, consumers can trace a product's origin, verifying its authenticity [49].

Decentralized technology promotes inclusivity, allowing participation without permission from a central entity [50]. Blockchain allows anyone with the necessary software and hardware to join the network [51]. This democratizes access, fostering innovation for those traditionally excluded from the global economy [52]. A small farmer can bypass intermediaries and connect directly with buyers using blockchain [53].

This model reduces the risk of censorship and manipulation [54]. No single party can unilaterally alter data on the blockchain [55]. If a powerful entity attempts censorship,

other nodes would reject the changes [56]. This resistance to censorship makes blockchain valuable for protecting freedom of speech [57].

Decentralized applications (dApps) leverage blockchain to create innovative solutions across various industries, from finance (DeFi) to supply chain management, healthcare, and voting systems [58]. DeFi uses blockchain to create decentralized versions of traditional financial services [59]. dApps represent a tangible manifestation of blockchain's potential, showcasing how its principles can solve real-world problems [60]. As the technology matures, even more impactful dApps are expected to emerge [61].

Behind the Blockchain: Understanding the Technology That Powers Digital Ledgers

While blockchain's initial rise was linked to cryptocurrencies, limiting its perception to digital currencies underestimates its transformative potential [62]. Blockchain's core innovation—a decentralized, immutable, and transparent ledger—unlocks applications across diverse industries, promising increased efficiency, security, and trust [63]. Let's explore use cases beyond cryptocurrency [64].

One promising application is in supply chain management [65]. Blockchain enables tracking goods with accuracy [66]. For example, one can trace a coffee bean's journey from a farmer in Colombia to a coffee shop in New York [67]. Each step is recorded, providing an immutable record [68]. This ensures authenticity, combating counterfeiting, and allows consumers to make informed choices [69]. It also empowers businesses to optimize supply chains and ensure compliance [70]. Pharmaceuticals, luxury goods, and food products all stand to gain [71].

The healthcare industry can be revolutionized by blockchain [72]. The secure storage and sharing of patient data is crucial, and blockchain provides a solution [73]. Instead of

fragmented medical records, blockchain allows for a unified, secure, patient-controlled system [74]. A new doctor can securely access a patient's medical history with their consent [75]. This improves interoperability, reduces administrative overhead, and minimizes data breach risks [76]. Blockchain can track pharmaceuticals, ensuring authenticity and preventing counterfeit drugs [77]. The immutability provides an audit trail for procedures, enhancing accountability [78]. Patient privacy is paramount, and blockchain solutions can ensure individuals control data access [79].

Voting systems can be enhanced with blockchain [80]. The integrity of elections is crucial, and blockchain can provide a transparent, auditable voting system [81]. Each vote is recorded as a transaction, creating an immutable record [82]. This allows for independent verification, increasing trust [83]. While challenges remain, pilot projects are exploring blockchain for voting [84]. Transparency could significantly increase voter confidence [85].

Digital identity management can be significantly enhanced [86]. Individuals often have multiple online identities [87]. Blockchain allows consolidating identity information and controlling access [88]. Individuals can create a self-sovereign identity stored on the blockchain [89]. They can prove their identity securely and selectively share information [90]. When applying for a loan, an individual can selectively share their credit history [91]. This enhances privacy and reduces identity theft risk [92].

Intellectual property rights can be protected using blockchain [93]. Creating and registering IP can be cumbersome [94]. Blockchain provides a tamper-proof record of ownership and usage [95]. When a creator registers their work, they establish an immutable timestamp [96]. This can resolve disputes and prevent unauthorized use [97]. Blockchain can manage royalty payments [98]. The immutable nature provides a secure system for managing IP, fostering innovation [99].

Behind the Blockchain: Understanding the Technology That Powers Digital Ledgers

While blockchain offers a revolutionary approach, it's crucial to acknowledge the challenges tempering its adoption [100]. Addressing these hurdles is paramount to unlocking its potential [101].

Scalability remains a primary concern [102]. Many blockchain networks struggle to process transactions at speeds comparable to centralized systems [103]. Each transaction must be verified by a distributed network, taking more time [104]. Imagine an online game running on a blockchain; slow speeds could translate to lag [105]. Layer-2 scaling solutions and alternative consensus mechanisms are being developed, but their effectiveness is under scrutiny [106].

Regulatory uncertainty also casts a shadow [107]. Governments are grappling with how to regulate cryptocurrencies and blockchain applications [108]. The lack of clear regulations creates ambiguity, hindering innovation [109]. The legal status of DeFi platforms remains unclear in many jurisdictions [110]. Inconsistent regulatory approaches can fragment the market [111].

Security vulnerabilities represent another challenge [112]. While blockchain is inherently secure, smart contracts are susceptible to vulnerabilities [113]. The DAO hack in 2016, where hackers stole millions of dollars of Ether, serves as a reminder [114]. Vulnerabilities in the platform can also lead to exploits [115]. Rigorous auditing is essential to maintain user trust [116].

The energy consumption of Proof-of-Work blockchains has raised environmental concerns [117]. PoW requires miners to expend computational power, consuming significant electricity [118]. While the impact is debated, PoW blockchains have a larger carbon footprint than alternatives like Proof-of-Stake [119]. The shift towards energy-efficient

mechanisms is crucial for sustainability [120].

Interoperability between different blockchain networks remains a hurdle [121]. Different blockchains operate in silos, making it difficult to exchange data [122]. This lack of interoperability limits cross-chain applications [123]. Transferring assets from Ethereum to Binance Smart Chain requires centralized exchanges [124]. The development of interoperability protocols is essential [125].

The complexity of blockchain technology can be a barrier to entry [126]. Understanding cryptography, consensus mechanisms, and smart contract programming requires specialized knowledge [127]. This complexity can deter developers and make it difficult for users to trust the technology [128]. More user-friendly tools and simplified interfaces are needed [129]. Lowering the barrier to entry is crucial for wider adoption [130].

The Future of Blockchain and Digital Ledgers

The journey of blockchain technology is far from over [131]. The focus is shifting towards addressing limitations and exploring its potential with other advancements [132]. Research is focused on scalability, security, and interoperability [133]. These are the cornerstones of the next generation of blockchain solutions [134].

One pressing concern is scalability [135]. First-generation blockchains suffer from slow transaction speeds [136]. Developers are creating Layer-2 scaling solutions [137]. These solutions process transactions off-chain, summarizing data back to the main blockchain [138]. Layer-2 solutions are like building express lanes [139]. Examples include the Lightning Network for Bitcoin [140]. These solutions improve transaction throughput without fundamental changes [141].

Another focus is energy-efficient consensus mechanisms [142]. Proof-of-Work requires immense computational power [143]. The race is on to create more sustainable algorithms [144]. Proof-of-Stake replaces computational power with token ownership [145]. This reduces the need for energy-intensive mining [146]. Newer mechanisms like Delegated Proof-of-Stake and variations of Byzantine Fault Tolerance are emerging [147]. The goal is to find the optimal balance that allows blockchain networks to remain secure and decentralized while minimizing their environmental impact [148].

The true potential of blockchain will be unlocked through its integration with other technologies, most notably artificial intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) [149]. Imagine a supply chain managed by a blockchain, where AI algorithms analyze data from IoT sensors [150]. The AI could automatically verify conditions, triggering smart contracts to process payments [151]. This creates efficient, transparent, and secure systems [152]. The data integrity provided by the blockchain, coupled with the analytical power of AI and the real-time connectivity of IoT, creates a powerful trifecta [153].

Increased regulatory clarity and standardization are crucial [154]. The current lack of clear frameworks creates uncertainty [155]. As governments develop regulations, it will provide businesses with confidence [156]. Standardization efforts, such as ensuring interoperability, are equally important [157]. Without common standards, it will be difficult for networks to communicate [158]. Standardized protocols will allow for a more interconnected ecosystem [159].

In conclusion, the evolution of decentralized technology, driven by research, integrations, and regulatory developments, promises to transform industries and reshape how we interact with information and value [160]. From streamlining supply chains to revolutionizing financial services, the potential is vast [161]. As scalability improves, energy consumption decreases, and frameworks become clearer, we can expect more widespread adoption [162]. The future of blockchain is not just about digital currencies; it's about creating a more transparent, secure, and efficient world for everyone [163].

References

1. Iansiti, M., & Lakhani, K. R. (2017). The truth about blockchain. *Harvard Business Review*, *95*(1), 118-127.
2. Swan, M. (2015). *Blockchain: Blueprint for a new economy*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.
3. Ermann, D., & Noonan, J. P. (2022). Centralized versus decentralized ledger technology: Implications for audit and assurance. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, *19*(2), 173-189.
4. Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain revolution: How the technology behind bitcoin is changing money, business, and the world*. Penguin.
5. Cachin, C., & Vukolić, M. (2017). Blockchain consensus protocols in the wild. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.01873*.
6. Narayanan, A., Bonneau, J., Felten, E., Miller, A., & Goldfeder, S. (2016). *Bitcoin and cryptocurrency technologies: a comprehensive introduction*. Princeton University Press.
7. Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system.
8. Antonopoulos, A. M. (2014). *Mastering Bitcoin: unlocking digital cryptocurrencies*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.
9. Underwood, S. (2016). Blockchain beyond bitcoin. *Communications of the ACM*, *59* (11), 15-17.
10. Casino, F., Dasaklis, T. K., & Patsakis, C. (2019). A systematic literature review of blockchain-based applications: current status, classification and open issues. *Telematics and Informatics*, *36*, 84-105.

11. Pilkington, M. (2016). Blockchain technology: principles and applications. In *Research handbook on digital transformations*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
12. Drescher, D. (2017). *Blockchain basics: a non-technical introduction in 25 steps*. Apress.
13. Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H. N., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2017). An overview of blockchain technology: Architecture, consensus, and future trends. In *2017 IEEE international congress on big data (BigData congress)* (pp. 557-564). IEEE.
14. Merkle, R. C. (1988). A digital signature based on a conventional encryption function. In *Advances in cryptology—CRYPTO'87* (pp. 369-378). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
15. Wood, G. (2014). Ethereum: A secure decentralised generalised transaction ledger. *Ethereum Project Yellow Paper*, *151*(2014), 1-32.
16. Atzei, N., Bartoletti, M., & Cimoli, T. (2017). A survey of attacks on Ethereum smart contracts. In *International conference on principles of security and trust* (pp. 164-186). Springer, Cham.
17. Gervais, A., Ritzdorf, H., Karame, G. O., Wüst, K., Kenigsberg, D., & Capkun, S. (2016). On the security and performance of proof-of-work blockchains. *ACM Transactions on Privacy and Security (TOPS)*, *19*(3), 1-35.
18. Crosby, M., Nachiappan, P., Verma, S., & Kalyanaraman, R. (2016). Blockchain technology: Beyond bitcoin. *Applied Innovation*, *2*(6-10), 71.
19. Tian, F. (2016). An agri-food supply chain traceability system for China based on RFID & blockchain technology. In *2016 13th international conference on service systems and service management (ICSSSM)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
20. Hawlitschek, F., Notheisen, B., & Teubner, T. (2018). The limits of trust-free systems: A literature review on blockchain technology and trust in the sharing economy. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, *29*, 50-63.

21. Beck, R., Czepluch, F. S., Lollike, N., & Malone, S. (2016). Blockchain—the backbone of the internet of things? In *Multikonferenz Wirtschaftsinformatik* (pp. 1883-1895).
22. Peters, G. W., & Panayi, E. (2016). Understanding modern banking ledgers through blockchain technologies: Future outlook and challenges. In *New solutions for business, technology and science* (pp. 239-278). Springer, Cham.
23. Hileman, G., & Rauchs, M. (2017). Global blockchain benchmarking study. *Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance*.
24. Watanabe, H., Fujimura, S., Nakadaira, A., Miyazaki, Y., Akutsu, A., & Kishigami, J. I. (2016). Blockchain as an innovative technology in financial processes. In *2016 8th international conference on innovative computing technology (INTECH)* (pp. 247-251). IEEE.
25. De Angelis, S., Aniello, L., Baldoni, R., Coppa, E., & Giannuzzi, F. (2018). Pbft vs. raft in permissioned blockchain: A comparative analysis. In *2018 IEEE international conference on software quality, reliability and security companion (QRS-C)* (pp. 377-384). IEEE.
26. Cachin, C. (2016). Architecture of the hyperledger blockchain fabric. In *Workshop on distributed cryptocurrencies and consensus ledgers*.
27. Mendling, J., Weber, I., Van Der Aalst, W. M., vom Brocke, J., Rosemann, M., & Reijers, H. A. (2018). Blockchains for business process management–challenges and opportunities. *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems (TMIS)*, *9*(1), 1-16.
28. Buterin, V. (2014). A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform.
29. Eyal, I., Gencer, A. E., Sirer, E. G., & Van Renesse, R. (2016). Bitcoin-ng: A scalable blockchain protocol. In *13th USENIX symposium on networked systems design and implementation (NSDI 16)* (pp. 45-59).

30. King, S., & Nadal, S. (2012). Ppcoin: Peer-to-peer crypto-currency with proof-of-stake.
31. Li, X., Jiang, P., Chen, T., Luo, X., & Wen, Q. (2017). A survey on the security of blockchain systems. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *107*, 830-844.
32. Szabo, N. (1997). Formalizing and securing relationships on public networks. *First Monday*, *2*(9).
33. Christidis, K., & Vasiliadis, A. (2016). Blockchain applications and use cases: A survey. *Linköping University Electronic Press*, 1-14.
34. Luu, L., Chu, D. H., Olickel, H., Saxena, P., & Hobor, A. (2016). Making smart contracts smarter. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security* (pp. 640-652).
35. Dinh, T. N., Nguyen, T. T., Tran, G., Le, Q., Park, D., & Lee, S. G. (2018). Untangling blockchain: A guide to the state-of-the-art. *IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering*, *30*(7), 1366-1385.
36. Haber, S., & Stornetta, W. S. (1991). How to time-stamp a digital document. *Journal of cryptology*, *3*(2), 99-111.
37. Davidson, S., De Filippi, P., & Potts, J. (2018). Economics of blockchain. *Available at SSRN 3175928*.
38. Yli-Huumo, J., Ko, D., Choi, S., Park, S., & Smolander, K. (2016). Where is current research on blockchain technology?–a systematic review. *PloS one*, *11*(10), e0163417.
39. Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H. N., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2018). Blockchain challenges and opportunities: a survey. *International Journal of Web and Grid Services*, *14*(3), 352-375.
40. Kshetri, N. (2017). Blockchain and sustainable supply chain management in a developing country context. *International Journal of Information Management*, *39*, 55-62.

41. Angraal, S., Rathi, A. K., Dhingra, A., Ahmed, T., Bhattacharya, S., & Sharma, A. (2017). Blockchain technology: possibilities in health care. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, *6*(2), 195.

42. Ekblaw, A., Azaria, A., Halamka, J. D., & Lippman, A. (2016). A case study for blockchain in healthcare: “MedRec” prototype for electronic health records and medical research data. In *Proceedings of IEEE open & big data conference* (Vol. 13, p. 738).

43. Moubarak, B., Barker, T., Callahan, C., & Reinhart, W. (2021). Blockchain applications for voting. *Computers & Security*, *102*, 102125.

44. Haq, I., & Anwar, S. (2016). Identity management in cloud using blockchain technology. In *2016 IEEE 10th international conference on semantic computing (ICSC)* (pp. 314-317). IEEE.

45. Ramachandran, M., & Mahmood, Z. (2019). Blockchain technology for personal data and identity management. In *Cloud computing* (pp. 263-281). Springer, Cham.

46. Xu, X., Weber, I., Staples, M., Zhu, L., Bosch, J., Bass, L., ... & Rimba, P. (2017). Architecture for blockchain applications. In *International conference on service-oriented computing* (pp. 580-596). Springer, Cham.

47. Abeyratne, S. A., & Monfared, R. P. (2016). Blockchain ready manufacturing supply chain using distributed ledger. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, *5*(09), 1-10.

48. Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Gawankar, S. (2020). Sustainable industry 4.0 practices for enhancing supply chain performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *229*, 107835.

49. Kim, H. M., & Laskowski, M. (2018). Towards an ontology-driven blockchain design for supply-chain provenance. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *20*(4), 727-738.

50. Hastig, G. M., Sodhi, M. S. (2020). Blockchain for supply chain traceability: Business requirements and critical design choices. *Production and Operations Management*, *29* (4), 935-954.

51. Roehrs, A., da Costa, C. A., & Righi, R. D. R. (2017). OmniPHR: A distributed and interoperable personal health record system based on blockchain. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, *71*, 70-81.

52. Benchoufi, M., & Ravaud, P. (2017). Blockchain technology for improving clinical research quality. *Trials*, *18*, 1-5.

53. Dubovitskaya, A., Xu, Z., Ryu, S., Schumacher, M., Li, W., & Del Vecchio, D. (2017). Application of blockchain for drug traceability in healthcare supply chain. *Applied Sciences*, *8*(1), 68.

54. Casino, F., Kourtelis, N., Papadaki, M., Zarras, A., & Tsakalidis, A. (2020). Blockchain-based data management for IoT: Review and state-of-the-art. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *112*, 710-749.

55. Pinna, A., Ibba, S., Baralla, G., & Marchesi, M. (2020). Voting systems based on blockchain technology. In *Technologies and applications for blockchain* (pp. 325-344). Springer, Cham.

56. Kshetri, N., & Voas, J. (2018). Blockchain as a service. *IT Professional*, *20*(2), 6-9.

57. Alonso, C., Villegas, J. C., Usandizaga, I., Irigaray, T., & Bobillo, F. (2021). A systematic literature review of blockchain-based identity management systems. *Computers & Security*, *104*, 102194.

58. Atzori, L., Iera, A., & Morabito, G. (2010). The internet of things: A survey. *Computer networks*, *54*(15), 2787-2805.

59. Huckle, S., Bhattacharya, R., White, M., & Beloff, N. (2016). Internet of things, blockchain and shared economy applications. *Applied Innovation*, *2*(2), 46-61.

60. Lemieux, V. L. (2016). Trusting records: is blockchain technology the answer?. *Records Management Journal*, *26*(2), 110-139.
61. Swan, M. (2017). *The science and engineering of blockchain: background, properties, applications*.
62. Xu, M., Chen, C., & Kouhizadeh, M. (2019). Lean construction performance management using blockchain technology. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, *27*(1), 163-181.
63. Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *247*, 108313.
64. Conley, D. (2017). An analysis of the DAO attack. *Journal of Internet Law*, *20*(10), 10-14.
65. Smart Contract Weaknesses. (n.d.). Retrieved from **View source**
66. O'Dwyer, K. J., & Malone, D. (2014). Bitcoin mining and its energy footprint. In *22nd European signal processing conference (EUSIPCO)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
67. Hayes, A. S. (2017). Cryptocurrency value formation: an empirical study leading to a cost of production model for valuing bitcoin. *Telematics and Informatics*, *34*(7), 1308-1321.
68. Allison, I. (2015). Consensus-as-a-service: How blockchain start-ups are hoping to profit. *International Business Times*.
69. Dannen, C. (2017). *Introducing Ethereum and solidity*. Apress.
70. Buterin, V. (2015). On public and private blockchains.
71. Joseph, J. (2017). Blockchain governance. *Harv. JL & Tech Digest*, *11*, 32.
72. Beck, R., Avital, M., Becek, P., Dennis, C., & Müller, W. (2017). Blockchain technology in business and information systems research. *Business & Information Systems*

Engineering, *59*(6), 381-384.

73. Efanov, D., & Roschin, P. (2018). The all-pervasiveness of the blockchain technology. *Procedia Computer Science*, *126*, 1169-1176.
74. Boonstra, J. P., & da Silva, E. R. (2017). Cultural change and innovation: Towards a conceptual model. *European Journal of Innovation Management*.
75. Decker, C., & Wattenhofer, R. (2013). Information propagation in the bitcoin network. In *Proceedings of the 13th international conference on peer-to-peer computing* (pp. 1-10).
76. Poon, J., & Dryja, T. (2016). The bitcoin lightning network: Scalable off-chain instant payments.
77. Woo, S. (2017). Is proof-of-stake more energy efficient than proof-of-work?.
78. Baliga, A., Fonseca, P., Golle, P., & Greenleaf, G. (2018). Proof-of-stake from scratch.
79. Yuan, Y., & Wang, F. Y. (2016). Towards blockchain-based intelligent transportation systems. In *2016 IEEE 19th international conference on intelligent transportation systems (ITSC)* (pp. 266-271). IEEE.
80. Novo, O. (2018). Blockchain meets IoT: An architecture for distributed access management of IoT. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, *5*(2), 1184-1195.
81. Dorri, A., Kanhere, S. S., Jurdak, R., & Gauravaram, P. (2017). Blockchain in internet of things: Challenges and solutions.